

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/009,102 03/06/2002 **David Watling** 15568.1 5518 22913 7590 09/06/2006 **EXAMINER WORKMAN NYDEGGER** JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE** 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 1744 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	[
Office Action Summers		10/009,102	WATLING, DAVID	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MORE THE MAILING DATES OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 186(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communi	
Status				
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ne 2006		
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E			15 15
Disposit	ion of Claims	repune quayle, 1000 c.b. 11,	100 C.G. 210.	
	·		•	
	Claim(s) <u>13-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	☑ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>13-29</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	•	
		ciection requirement.		
	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
44/	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	ation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage	;
	application from the International Bureau			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not recei-	ved.	
Attachmen	t(s)			•
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
3) ∐ Inform Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application	
		تر المالية	-	•

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/26/2006 has been entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 13-29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,025,932. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Art Unit: 1744

each other because they are the same inventive concept, namely, the formation of a specific condensation layer of hydrogen peroxide on the surfaces of a sealed enclosure to achieve sterilization thereof, with measurement of the condensation layer to control the sterilization parameters.

Claims 13-29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,014,813B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are the same inventive concept, namely, the formation of a specific condensation layer of hydrogen peroxide on the surfaces of a sealed enclosure to achieve sterilization thereof, with measurement of the condensation layer to control the sterilization parameters.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 13-27 under both Cummings references and Childers have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of patents 7,025,932 and 7,014,813.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 6:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,102

Art Unit: 1744

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krisanne Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

August 31, 2006